BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 51 of 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Shailesh Singh Vs. Metropolitan Hotel & SPA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Mr. Shailesh Singh, Adv.

Respondent No. 1: Mr. I.K. Kapila, Mr. Y.S. Dalal and Mr. Tarun

Chandiol, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Popli, Adv. Respondent No.2:

Respondent No.3: Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. With Mr. S.L. Gundli, Sr. LO, CPCB

Respondent No.4:

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv. Mr. Sanjeev Ralli, Adv. And Mr. Dinesh Jindal, Respondent No.5&6:

LO, DPCC

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 12	At the oral request of Learned Counsel appearing for
April 27, 2015	the Respondent No. 1 the Delhi Jal Board is directed to be
ss	impleaded as Respondent in the main petition which is
3	not opposed. Issue notice to the newly added Repondent
M =	by dasti only.
11/2	We have perused the record of the Board and found
1 1 2	that there is nothing on record to show that any
2011	inspection was conducted and/or even the consent to
	operate in accordance with law was issued in 2000 when
	the Metropolitan Hotel was established and had become
	operational. There is also no document to show that
	consent to operate which was renewed for the period of
	three years i.e. 2003-2007 was based upon inspection and
	compliance to the law in force. The STP installed was
	originally for 150 KLD while the water consumption is 462
	KLD and waste water generation is 270 KLD which
	subsequently enhanced to 346 KLD. There are a number
	of other discrepancies and deficiencies which are apparent

on the face of the records produced before us.

In the light of the above, the Respondent No. 1 has no permission from Central Ground Water Authority, or from DJB or any other Competent Authority under the law in force for drawal of groundwater. This hotel has also Board been operating without consent of the intermittently. these circumstances we Respondent No. 1 to submit its reply as to why he should not be directed to close the Hotel forthwith and also be directed to pay compensation in terms of section 15 of NGT 2010 for causing pollution, Act extracting groundwater illegally and for restoration and restitution of the environment.

The DJB and New Delhi Municipal Council shall produce their original records in relation to Property in question before the Tribunal. Reply, if any, be filed within one week from today with advance copy to all the counsel appearing in this case.

List this matter for final argument on 06th May,

1	(O	СР
	(Swatanter Kumar)	
	,I (Dr. D.K. Agrawal)	ΞM
	,I (B.S. Sajwan)	ΞM